

# Risk Measures in non-dominated Models

Magali Kervarec

Purpose: Study Risk Measures taking into account the model uncertainty in mathematical finance.

- 1 Non-dominated Models
  - Model Uncertainty
  - Fundamental topological Properties
- 2 Risk Measures on  $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{C})$ 
  - Definitions
  - Representation of Risk Measure on  $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{C})$
  - Examples
- 3 Conclusion et perspectives

# Non-dominated Models

# Model Uncertainty

## Motivations

- Lots of probability models in mathematical finance to describe prices of assets
- For each model, problems of calibration
- It is natural to consider not completely specified models
- Example of framework taking into account model uncertainty : UVM (uncertain volatility model). It is a generalization of the Black-Scholes model : the volatility process is not known but it is assumed to lie in a fixed interval.

In order to take into account model uncertainty, we consider that knowledge is not represented by one probability measure, but by a set of probability measures  $\mathcal{P}$ .

# Framework

## Framework

- $d$  risky assets.
- Let  $\Omega = C_0([0; T], \mathbb{R}^d)$  be the space of continuous functions defined on  $[0, T]$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , vanishing in 0, endowed with the uniform convergence norm,  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  the canonical filtration.
- $(B_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  the coordinates process, and for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ ,  $(B_{i,t})_{t \in [0; T]}$  the process of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinate.
- For all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , we consider  $\underline{\mu}_i$  and  $\mu_i$  finite deterministic measures on  $[0, T]$ . We assume that for all  $i$ ,  $\mu_i$  and  $\underline{\mu}_i$  are Hölder-continuous.

# Hypothesis

## Definitions

- A probability measure  $P$  is an orthogonal martingale law if the coordinate process is a martingale with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  under  $P$ , and if the martingales  $(B_i)_{1 \leq i \leq d}$  are orthogonal, i.e.

$$\forall i \neq j, \forall t \in [0, T], \langle B_i, B_j \rangle_t^P = 0 \quad P \text{ a.s.}$$

- An orthogonal martingale law satisfies the property  $H(\underline{\mu}, \mu)$  if

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, d\}, \quad d\underline{\mu}_{i,t} \leq d \langle B_i \rangle_t^P \leq d\mu_{i,t}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{P}_0$  be the set of orthogonal martingale law satisfying the property  $H(\underline{\mu}, \mu)$  (the case where  $d\underline{\mu}_{i,t} = \underline{\sigma}_i^2 dt$  and  $d\mu_{i,t} = \sigma_i^2 dt$  for some constants  $\underline{\sigma}_i$  and  $\sigma_i$ , correspond to the case where the volatility of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  asset belongs to a fixed interval)

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ Q; \exists P_0 \in \mathcal{P}_0, \frac{dQ}{dP_0} = \exp \left( \int_0^T \lambda_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\lambda_s|^2 ds \right) \text{ avec } |\lambda| \leq C \right\}$$

# Difficulties and capacities

## Difficulties linked to non-dominated models

- Definition of a negligible set?
- Definition of "almost surely"?

## Definitions

We define a regular capacity on  $C_b(\Omega)$  with:

$$\forall f \in C_b(\Omega), c_p(f) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_0} E_P[|f|^p]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Then, we consider the Lebesgue extension to all functions.

## Definitions

- A set  $A$  is said to be polar if  $c_p(\mathbf{1}_A) = 0$
- A property is said to hold "quasi-surely" if it holds outside a polar set.

# Fundamental topological Properties

Let  $\mathcal{L}_p(c)$  be the topological completion of  $C_b(\Omega)$  with respect to the semi-norm  $c_p$ .

Theorem of D. Feyel/A. De la Pradelle, dual of  $\mathcal{L}_p(c)$

If  $T$  is a non negative linear form on  $\mathcal{L}_p(c)$  then  $T$  is continuous and there exists a non negative finite measure  $\lambda$  which does not charge polar sets such that

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{L}_p(c), T(f) = \int_{\Omega} f(x)\lambda(dx)$$

Théorème

$\mathcal{P}$  is weakly compact and convex.



# Risk Measures on $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{C})$

# Definition of Risk Measures on $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{C})$

## Définition

A map  $\rho : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a monetary risk measure if it satisfies the following assertions for all  $X, Y \in \Pi$ :

- Monotonicity: If  $X \leq Y$  quasi-everywhere, then  $\rho(X) \geq \rho(Y)$
- Translation Invariance:  $\forall m \in \mathbb{R}, \rho(X + m) = \rho(X) - m$

A monetary risk measure is said to be convex if it satisfies the following assertion:

- Convexity:  $\forall \lambda \in [0, 1], \forall X, Y \in \Pi, \rho(\lambda X + (1 - \lambda) Y) \leq \lambda \rho(X) + (1 - \lambda) \rho(Y)$

A convex risk measure is said to be a coherent measure of risk if it satisfies the following additional assertion:

- Positive Homogeneity:  $\forall \lambda \geq 0, \forall X \in \Pi, \rho(\lambda X) = \lambda \rho(X)$

# Representation of Coherent Risk Measure on $\mathcal{L}_\rho(c)$

## Theorem

Let  $\rho$  be a **coherent risk measure** on  $\mathcal{L}_\rho(c)$ . Then,  $\rho$  is **continuous** and there exists a set  $\mathcal{Q}$  of probability measures on  $\Omega$  which do not charge polar sets and such that

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{L}_\rho(c), \rho(X) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{Q}} E_P[-X]$$

Moreover,  $\mathcal{Q}$  can be chosen convex and such that the supremum above is attained.

Representation of Convex Risk Measure on  $\mathcal{L}_\rho(c)$ 

## Theorem

Let  $\rho$  be a **convex risk measure** on  $\mathcal{L}_\rho(c)$ . Then,  $\rho$  admits the following representation:

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{L}_\rho(c), \rho(X) = \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'} E_Q[-X] - \alpha_{\min}(Q)$$

where

$$\alpha_{\min}(Q) = \sup_{X \in \mathcal{L}_\rho(c)} (E_Q[-X] - \rho(X)) = \sup_{X \in \mathcal{A}_\rho} E_Q[-X]$$

and  $\mathcal{P}'$  the set of probability measures which do not charge polar sets and belonging to  $(\mathcal{L}_\rho(c))^*$ .

Moreover, for all  $X \in \mathcal{L}_\rho(c)$ , there exists a probability measure  $P_X \in \mathcal{P}'$  such that:

$$\rho(X) = E_{P_X}[-X] - \alpha_{\min}(P_X)$$

And,  $\alpha_{\min}$  is the minimal penalty function, meaning that if  $\alpha$  is another

# A Monetary Risk Measure

## Definition

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . We define

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{L}_p(c), V\@R_\alpha(X) = -\inf\{x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists P \in \mathcal{P}, P(X \leq x) > \alpha\}.$$

## Properties

Let  $p \geq 1$ , then for all  $X \in \mathcal{L}_p(c)$ ,  $V\@R_\alpha(X)$  is finite and

$$V\@R_\alpha(X) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} V\@R_\alpha^P(X) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (-\inf\{x \in \mathbb{R}, P(X \leq x) > \alpha\})$$

Moreover,  $V\@R_\alpha$  is a monetary risk measure on  $\mathcal{L}_p(c)$

# A Coherent Risk Measure

## Définition(Föllmer/Shied)

Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . We put

$$\forall X \in L^\infty(P), AV@R_\lambda^P(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda V@R_\alpha^P(X) d\alpha$$

## Définition

Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $p > 1$ . We put

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{L}_p(c), AV@R_\lambda(X) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda V@R_\alpha^P(X) d\alpha \right)$$

$$\forall X \in L^\infty(P) \cap \mathcal{L}_p(c), AV@R_\lambda(X) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} AV@R_\lambda^P(X)$$

# A Coherent Risk Measure

## Theorem

For all  $p > 1$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ,  $AV@R_\lambda$  is a coherent risk measure on  $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{C})$  which admits the following representation:

$$(1) \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{C}), AV@R_\lambda(X) = \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_\lambda} E_Q[-X]$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda = \{Q \in \mathcal{P}'; \exists P \in \mathcal{P} \text{ s.t. } Q \ll P \text{ and } \frac{dQ}{dP} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} P - a.s.\}$ .  
Moreover, the supremum in (1) is attained.

# A Convex Risk Measure

Let  $I : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an increasing, convex loss function not identically constant and such that there exists a constant  $M > 0$  satisfying

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, |I(x)| \leq M(1 + |x|^p)$$

For example, we can take:  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, I(x) = (x^+)^p$ . Assume that  $x_0$  belongs to the interior of  $I(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of acceptable positions :

$$\mathcal{A} = \{X \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{C}), \forall P \in \mathcal{P}, E_P[I(-X)] \leq x_0\}$$

Thus, a financial position is considered to be acceptable if whatever the scenario that happens, whatever the model (i.e. for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ), the mean loss is smaller than  $x_0$ .

## Shortfall risk

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{I, x_0}(X) &= \inf\{m \in \mathbb{R}; m + X \in \mathcal{A}\} \\ &= \inf\{m \in \mathbb{R}; \forall P \in \mathcal{P}, E_P[I(-m - X)] \leq x_0\} \end{aligned}$$

$\rho_{I, x_0}$  is a convex risk measure on  $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{C})$ .



# Perspectives

Difficultés et perspectives:

- Link with G-expectations.
- Definition and study of dynamic risk measure in a framework taking into account model uncertainty.